SEP 4 1965

.U.S. LETS 3 PAPERS **MERGE OPERATIONS**

San Francisco Dailies Will Not Face Antitrust Action

> By FRED P. GRAHAM Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Sept. 3-The antitrust division of the Justice Department has decided not to oppose a proposed cost-sharing combination among the three major San Francisco daily newspapers.

Sources in the department confirmed today that Donald F. Turner, Assistant Attorney Gencral in charge of the division, had approved a letter informing the papers that the Government would not bring suit if they proceeded with their com-bination plans.

whether a newspaper cost-shar-ing combination is an antitrust violation.

A Justice Department source

The newspapers are two
Hearst dailies, The Morning
Examiner and The Evening
News-Call Bulletin, and The
Would not preclude the depart. News-Call Bulletin, and The would not preclude the depart-ment from suing to break it at

٠.

The three newspapers had said investigated reports that asked the department to state whether it would oppose an ducting discussions aimed at agreement for joint publishing, cost-saving operations.

To obtain information, dewhether it would oppose an agreement for joint publishing, printing and other cost-sharing operations.

to this type of combination. Tribune, The New York Jour-which allows them to maintain indl-American, the New York World-Telegram and The Sun,

opposed some outright newspa-per mergers in recent months. However, the proposed San formation, conditioned upon the icle and The Examiner are com- agreement was signed. peting morning papers.

The Hearst management was reportedly able to get the anti-trust division's approval by arguing that both its papers were operating at a deficit, and that the combination would not be in restraint of trade.

Four newspaper antitrust ac-tions have been filed by the Justice Department since May, 1964, but only one involved a cost-sharing arrangement.

Tucson Case Cited

The department brought suit The department brought suit in January to enjoin the impending purchase of The Arizona Daily Star by The Tucson Daily Citizen. The two papers had been operating under a joint-facilities, cost-sharing arrangement since 1940. The Government also asked that this ernment also asked that this arrangement be cancelled by the court as a violation of section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act.

Government lawyers argued that the cost-sharing contained elements of price-fixing, mar-ket division hand profit-pooling, and that it was therefore in restraint of trade.

A decision on the Govern-ment's motion for summary judgment without trial is expected momentarily by Federal District Judge James A. Walsh.

One source reported that the department would not officially inform the San Francisco newspapers of its approval of their proposal uneil Judge Walsh had ruled, because the San Francisco arrangement woud contain some of the elements attacked by the Government in the Tucson suit.

No Merger Seen

The Government is taking the position that the San Francisco proposal would not involve a merger. Also, the Tucson case is considered a test case; no Federal court has yet ruled on whether a newspaper cost-shar-

a later time.

Would Share Publishing Last June the antitrust divi-The three newspapers had sion investigated reports that

partment served letters similar operations.
Financially troubled news-to subpoenas on The New York
Times, the New York Herald
papers are increasingly turning Tribune, The New York Jourindependent editorial policies World-Telegram and The Sun, while sharing production costs. The Justice Department has York Post.

The department later offered However, the proposed sain specific arrangement would papers' agreement to notify it be unusual because The Chronat least 10 days before any agreement was signed.